

CREATOR VETTING SCORECARD

Creator Name: _____ | LinkedIn Profile: _____

Evaluated By: _____ | Date: _____

1. ENGAGEMENT QUALITY (0-20 Points)

Category	Score (0-5)	Criteria
Engagement Rate	<div><div></div><div>____ / 5</div></div>	<p>5 points: 5% or higher engagement rate</p> <p>4 points: 3-5% engagement rate</p> <p>3 points: 2-3% engagement rate</p> <p>2 points: 1-2% engagement rate</p> <p>1 point: Less than 1% engagement rate</p>
Comment Quality	<div><div></div><div>____ / 5</div></div>	<p>5 points: Thoughtful discussions, substantive comments</p> <p>4 points: Good engagement, meaningful responses</p> <p>3 points: Mixed quality comments</p> <p>2 points: Superficial engagement</p> <p>1 point: Spam or bot-like comments</p>

Audience Interaction	____ / 5 ▾	5 points: Highly responsive to audience, regular engagement 4 points: Regular responses to comments and messages 3 points: Occasional responses 2 points: Rare responses 1 point: No audience interaction
Shareability	____ / 5 ▾	5 points: Highly viral content, consistent shares 4 points: Regular shares and reposts 3 points: Moderate shareability 2 points: Low share rate 1 point: Minimal shares

ENGAGEMENT QUALITY TOTAL: ____ / 20

Notes:

2. AUDIENCE ALIGNMENT (0-20 Points)

Category	Score (0-5)	Criteria
----------	-------------	----------

Target Demographic Match	<div> <div></div> / 5 </div>	<p>5 points: Perfect match with our target audience</p> <p>4 points: Strong demographic alignment</p> <p>3 points: Good match</p> <p>2 points: Partial alignment</p> <p>1 point: Poor demographic match</p>
Industry Relevance	<div> <div></div> / 5 </div>	<p>5 points: Direct industry match</p> <p>4 points: Adjacent industry, highly relevant</p> <p>3 points: Relevant industry connections</p> <p>2 points: Tangentially related</p> <p>1 point: Unrelated industry</p>
Geographic Alignment	<div> <div></div> / 5 </div>	<p>5 points: Perfect geographic match</p> <p>4 points: Strong geographic overlap</p> <p>3 points: Good geographic overlap</p> <p>2 points: Some geographic overlap</p> <p>1 point: No geographic alignment</p>

Audience Size and Growth	<div>____ / 5 ▾</div>	5 points: Large audience with strong growth 4 points: Growing steadily 3 points: Stable audience 2 points: Slow or minimal growth 1 point: Declining audience
---------------------------------	-----------------------	--

AUDIENCE ALIGNMENT TOTAL: ____ / 20

Notes:

3. CONTENT CONSISTENCY (0-20 Points)

Category	Score (0-5)	Criteria
Posting Frequency	<div>____ / 5 ▾</div>	5 points: Daily or more frequent posting 4 points: 4-6 times per week 3 points: 2-3 times per week 2 points: Weekly posting 1 point: Sporadic or inconsistent
Content Quality	<div>____ / 5 ▾</div>	5 points: Exceptional quality, highly polished 4 points: High quality content 3 points: Good quality 2 points: Acceptable quality

		1 point: Poor quality
Topic Consistency	____ / 5 ▾	5 points: Highly focused on specific topics 4 points: Consistent theme across content 3 points: Generally stays on-topic 2 points: Varied topics 1 point: Scattered, no clear focus
Brand Voice Alignment	____ / 5 ▾	5 points: Perfect alignment with our brand voice 4 points: Strong fit 3 points: Good fit 2 points: Some alignment 1 point: Misaligned brand voice

CONTENT CONSISTENCY TOTAL: ____ / 20

Notes:

4. BRAND SAFETY (0-20 Points)

Category	Score (0-5)	Criteria
----------	-------------	----------

Controversial Content History	<div> <div></div> / 5 </div>	<p>5 points: No controversial content issues</p> <p>4 points: Very minor issues, well-handled</p> <p>3 points: Minimal concerns</p> <p>2 points: Some concerning content</p> <p>1 point: Major red flags or controversies</p>
Professional Reputation	<div> <div></div> / 5 </div>	<p>5 points: Excellent reputation in industry</p> <p>4 points: Very good reputation</p> <p>3 points: Good reputation</p> <p>2 points: Acceptable reputation</p> <p>1 point: Concerning or poor reputation</p>
Values Alignment	<div> <div></div> / 5 </div>	<p>5 points: Perfect alignment with company values</p> <p>4 points: Strong values alignment</p> <p>3 points: Good values alignment</p> <p>2 points: Some gaps in values</p> <p>1 point: Misaligned values</p>

Crisis History	____ / 5 ▾	<p>5 points: No crisis or negative incidents</p> <p>4 points: Minor issues, successfully resolved</p> <p>3 points: Some past issues, handled adequately</p> <p>2 points: Multiple issues or poor crisis management</p> <p>1 point: Major crises or ongoing problems</p>
-----------------------	------------	--

BRAND SAFETY TOTAL: ____ / 20

Notes:

5. COLLABORATION STYLE (0-20 Points)

Category	Score (0-5)	Criteria
Responsiveness	____ / 5 ▾	<p>5 points: Responds within 24 hours</p> <p>4 points: Responds within 1-2 days</p> <p>3 points: Responds within 3-5 days</p> <p>2 points: Responds within 1 week</p> <p>1 point: Takes longer than 1 week or does not respond</p>

Professionalism	____ / 5 ▾	<p>5 points: Exceptional professionalism</p> <p>4 points: Very professional</p> <p>3 points: Professional</p> <p>2 points: Acceptable professionalism</p> <p>1 point: Unprofessional behavior</p>
Creative Flexibility	____ / 5 ▾	<p>5 points: Highly collaborative, very flexible</p> <p>4 points: Flexible and open to ideas</p> <p>3 points: Moderate flexibility</p> <p>2 points: Somewhat rigid</p> <p>1 point: Inflexible or difficult to work with</p>
Previous Partnership Success	____ / 5 ▾	<p>5 points: Excellent track record with other brands</p> <p>4 points: Good partnership success</p> <p>3 points: Mixed results</p> <p>2 points: Limited success</p> <p>1 point: Poor partnership outcomes</p>

COLLABORATION STYLE TOTAL: ____ / 20

Notes:

FINAL EVALUATION

TOTAL SCORE: _____ / 100

Overall Rating: _____

- **90-100:** EXCELLENT - Highly Recommended
- **80-89:** VERY GOOD - Recommended
- **70-79:** GOOD - Consider with conditions
- **60-69:** FAIR - Proceed with caution
- **Less than 60:** POOR - Not recommended

RECOMMENDATION AND NEXT STEPS

Recommendation:

Next Steps:

- _____
—
- _____
—
- _____
—

Follow-up Date: _____

Additional Notes: